

Sent: 29 May 2024 20:16
To: planningcomments
Subject: Application 5/2022/0599 rejection

To whom it may concern

We are a family with children who attend the local school. We totally oppose the proposed build for reasons below, with particular emphasis regarding the safety of the children going to and leaving school.

- The revised plans show the complete removal of the grass verge against the fence of 106 High Street. This is an important wildlife corridor that links habitats together, e.g. for hedgehogs and other insects/animals to move.
- Where will the lamppost near the fence go? This area is not well lit; and used all year round so this lighting is important for safety and to prevent antisocial behaviour.
- Tarmac want parallel parking of cars on one carriageway of the new access road (the side against the fence). This will reduce the entire length of the new road to one lane only and will make getting in and out of the development and football club very difficult - what will happen if a car tries to leave the development when another arrives? You just can't reverse back out onto the High St!
- It is also not possible to get into the football club following the route shown on the map - you would crash your car into the gate. More car parking spaces will be lost on parish council land to allow vehicles into the gate.
- The new pavements are all designed and intended to be constantly driven over. This is unsafe as children will take less care in the car park than they currently do now, as they will feel the pavement affords them protection from vehicles. If cars are parked on the road along the fence side, this will result in children crossing between cars too.

These points plus the fact that the village infrastructure is lacking for the current population and will not cope with an expansion.

Alex May
13 Roestock Gardens
Colney Heath