

# **St Albans District Council Local Plan Viability Study**

**Strategic Site Testing: North East Harpenden** 

Prepared for

St Albans City and District Council

September 2024



### **Contents**

1	Introduction	3
2	Details of strategic site	4
3	Development appraisals	5
4	Appraisal results and analysis	12
5	Conclusions and Recommendations	13

# **Appendices**

Appendix 1 - Working assumptions adopted in appraisal Appendix 2 - Argus appraisal summary

#### **Contact details:**

Anthony Lee MRTPI MRICS Senior Director – Development Consulting BNP Paribas Real Estate 10 Harewood Avenue London NW1 6AA

Tel: 020 7338 4061

Email: anthony.lee@bnpparibas.com

Jamie Purvis MRICS
Senior Associate Director – Development Consulting
BNP Paribas Real Estate
10 Harewood Avenue
London NW1 6AA

Direct line: 020 7338 4142

Email: james.purvis@bnpparibas.com



### 1 Introduction

St Albans City and District Local Plan 2041 Publication Draft 2024 ('LPPD') sets out a planning framework for the City and District, identifying how much and what type of development is needed and where it should or should not be accommodated. To support the delivery of housing and employment growth over the plan period St Albans City and District Council ('the Council') has identified a number of Strategic Development Sites ('Strategic Sites'). Given the importance of these Strategic Sites to the local growth strategy the Council has instructed BNP Paribas Real Estate to consider their viability in detail.

The National Planning Practice Guidance on Viability 2024 ('NPPG') identifies at paragraph 003 that:

"Assessing the viability of plans does not require individual testing of every site or assurance that individual sites are viable. Plan makers can use site typologies to determine viability at the plan making stage". However, it goes on to identify that "in some circumstances more detailed assessment may be necessary for particular areas or key sites on which the delivery of the plan relies". This is reiterated in paragraph 005 which sets out that, "it is important to consider the specific circumstances of strategic sites. Plan makers can undertake site specific viability assessment for sites that are critical to delivering the strategic priorities of the plan."

Accordingly this report outlines the results of the additional high level viability testing undertaken on the East Hemel Hempstead (North) strategic development site as allocated in the LPPD as site B2.

This report should be read in conjunction with the St Albans Local Plan Viability Study ('LPVS') report dated September 2024, in which we tested the ability of a range of development types throughout the City and District to support the planning policy requirements of the emerging LPPD and other key local policies and guidance as well as national policies.

We have prepared this report with the particular purpose of testing the cumulative impact of the Council's emerging requirements on the identified strategic development site B2 including affordable housing, on-site Section 106 obligations and on-site infrastructure measures. This approach is in line with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework 2023 ('NPPF'), the NPPG, the RICS Guidance Note 'Assessing viability in Planning under the National Planning Policy Framework for England (2021)' and the Local Housing Delivery Group guidance 'Viability Testing Emerging Local Plans: Advice for planning practitioners' (June 2012).

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 identifies the details of the strategic site that has been tested;
- Section 3 details the methodology adopted in this assessment and the inputs to our appraisals;
- Section 4 outlines the results of our appraisals and considers the implications for the Council's emerging LPPD; and
- Section 5 sets out our conclusions and recommendations.



# 2 Details of strategic site

The Council has provided information on the development envisaged at North East Harpenden as set out in the development requirements of Policy LG1 (a-s) – North East Harpenden Broad Location in the LPPD. Table 2.1 sets out these assumptions including; the gross and net site areas, the number of residential units and the quantum of non-residential floorspace. Further details of the scheme appraised are set out at **Appendix 1**.

Table 2.1 Details of proposed strategic development at North East Harpenden

Appraisal Input	Site Specifications
Site Size Gross Net	31.7 Ha 18.4 Ha
Number of residential units (Density circa 40 units per Ha)	738
Estimated Self Build units @ 3% of total units	22
Specialist housing	
Extra care / flexicare (units)	1 x 80 units
Local Centre	176 square metres (GIA)
Health provision	443 square metres (GIA)



## 3 Development appraisals

#### 3.1 Methodology

Our methodology follows standard development appraisal conventions and the standard methodology set out in the NPPG. It is also consistent with the methodology adopted in the LPVS. This study utilises the residual land value ('RLV') method of calculating the value of the indicative development on the North East Harpenden strategic site. This method is used by developers when determining how much to bid for land and involves calculating the value of the completed scheme and deducting development costs (construction, fees, finance and policy requirements) and developer's profit. The residual amount is the sum left after these costs have been deducted from the value of the development, and guides a developer in determining an appropriate offer price for the site.

We have used *Argus Developer* ("Argus") to undertake the high level appraisal of the indicative development on the North East Harpenden strategic site. Argus is a commercially available development appraisal package in widespread use throughout the development industry. It has been accepted by a number of local planning authorities for the purpose of viability assessments and has also been accepted at planning appeals. Banks also consider Argus to be a reliable tool for secured lending valuations. Further details can be accessed at <a href="https://www.argussoftware.com">www.argussoftware.com</a>

Argus is essentially a cash-flow model. Such models all work on a similar basis:

- Firstly, the value of the completed development is assessed.
- Secondly, the development costs are calculated, including either the profit margin required or land costs. In our appraisals we include profit as a development cost.

As identified above, the difference between the total development value and total costs equates to the RLV. The model is normally set up to run over a development period from the date of the commencement of the project until the project completion, when the development has been constructed and is occupied.

The cash-flow approach allows the finance charges to be accurately calculated over the development period. This approach can accommodate more complex arrangements where a number of different uses are provided or development is phased.

In order to assess whether a development scheme can be regarded as being economically viable, with a given level of planning obligations, it is necessary to compare the RLV that is produced with a benchmark land value ('BLV'). If a development generates a RLV that is higher than the BLV it can be regarded as being economically viable and therefore capable of providing a greater quantum of obligations. However, if a development generates a RLV that is lower than the BLV, it should be deemed economically unviable and the quantum of planning obligations would need to be reduced until viability is achieved.

#### 3.2 Appraisal inputs

Our assumptions adopted for the development appraisals of the indicative development on the site are set out in the following section.

#### 3.2.1 Unit mix

Following discussions with the Council we have adopted the unit mix as summarised in Tables 3.2.1.1 and 3.2.1.2 based on the mix adopted for Typology 14 in the LPVS on the basis that this is a reasonable assumption to test in a viability assessment. However, this does not preclude other unit mixes if these meet identified housing need at the time a scheme is brought forward.

The sizes of units that we have adopted in the appraisal are informed by and accord with the minimum gross internal floor areas set out in the former DCLG (now the Ministry of Housing Communities and



Local Government) 'Technical Housing standards nationally described space standard' published in March 2015.

**Table 3.2.1.1: Market Housing Unit Mix** 

Unit type	2 Bed/4P House	3 Bed/5P House	4 Bed/7P House
Unit size <sup>1</sup>	79 sq/m	93 sq/m	115 sq/m
% tested in Scheme	25%	45%	30%

Table 3.2.1.2: Affordable Housing Unit Mix

Unit type	2 Bed/4P House	3 Bed/5P House	4 Bed/7P House	5 Bed/7P House
Unit size <sup>2</sup>	79 sq/m	93 sq/m	115 sq/m	125 sq/m
% tested in Scheme	28%	37%	25%	10%

#### 3.2.2 Market Housing Residential Sales Values

We have adopted an average private/market residential sales value of £7,320 per sq/m (£680 per sq/ft) in our appraisal. This corresponds with the sales values adopted in the LPVS in the Harpenden value area, which we based on research using sources including the Land Registry online database, Rightmove online database, our understanding of viability of live schemes in the District and discussions with active local agents.

#### 3.2.3 Affordable housing

The LPPD indicates that the Council will require schemes capable of providing 10 or more units to provide 40% affordable housing with a tenure mix of 30% Social Rent, 30% Affordable Rent, 15% Shared Ownership and 25% First Homes.

Target rents are determined by Ministry for Housing Communities and Local Government's ("MHCLG") 'Rent Restructuring Framework' introduced into the registered provider sector in 2002. Under this framework, RPs are required to calculate a target rent for each property based on relative property values and relative local earnings, together with a bedroom weighting.

The weekly Social Rent for an individual property is calculated as follows:

- 70% of the average rent for the RP sector multiplied by relative county earnings multiplied by the bedroom weighting; plus
- 30% of the average rent for the RP sector multiplied by the relative property value (using January 1999 values as a common base date).

Consequently, our appraisals assume that the social rented housing is let at Social Rents summarised in Table 3.2.3.1.

Table 3.2.3.1: Social Housing Rents (Per Week)

	Houses		
Value Area	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed
Harpenden	£143.55	£162.43	£190.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In line with the Government's "Technical housing standards nationally described space standard" published in March 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In line with the Government's "Technical housing standards nationally described space standard" published in March 2015.



Our appraisals assume that the Affordable Rent units are let at Local Housing Allowance Rents (South West Herts BRMA which we summarise in Table 3.2.3.2.

Table 3.2.3.2: Affordable Housing Rents (Per Week)

1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed
£218.63	£287.67	£345.21	£460.27

To establish the capital value of the rented units, we have used a discounted cashflow model which replicates the approach used by registered providers when preparing bids to acquire new housing stock. The model projects the rents over a 40 year period and deducts the estimated voids and bad debts, management costs, maintenance costs and allowances for major repairs. The model establishes the present value of the net rental income by applying a discount rate (reflecting the cost of funds and RP's risk margin), reflecting the price that can, in principle be paid to acquire the completed units from a developer. We summarise in Tables 3.2.3.3 and 3.2.3.4 below the capital values we have adopted in our appraisals for the social and affordable rented units.

Table 3.2.3.3: Capital Values of the Social Rented Affordable Housing

	Houses		
Value Area	2 Bed (£ per sq/ft)	3 Bed (£ per sq/ft)	4 Bed (£ per sq/ft)
Harpenden	£212	£205	£196

Table 3.2.3.4: Capital Values of the Affordable Rented Affordable Housing

Houses			
2 Bed (£PSF)		3 Bed (£PSF)	4 Bed (£PSF)
	£415	£428	£473

We have valued the Shared Ownership units by firstly establishing the unrestricted market value of each unit by reference to comparable evidence of similar units. The value of the initial equity stake sold to the purchaser (typically 25%) is the first segment of value. The purchaser (with an income cap of £80,000 per annum) will also pay a rent on the retained equity at rate not exceeding 2.75% of the retained equity. The capital value of this rent is calculated using a discounted cashflow model. The two elements (initial equity stake sold plus capital value of rental income) are added together to establish a total value.

We summarise in Table 3.2.3.5 below the capital values of the Shared Ownership units we have adopted in our appraisals.

Table 3.2.3.5: Capital Values of Shared Ownership Units

Value Area	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed
	(£PSF)	(£PSF)	(£PSF)
Harpenden	£343	£314	£216

In line with the requirements of the NPPG on First Homes, we have valued the First Homes units on the basis of a value cap of £250,000 per unit which represents an discount on average market values of c. 63% in Harpenden.

The 'Affordable Homes Programme 2021-2026' document clearly states that Registered Providers will not receive grant funding for any affordable housing provided through planning obligations on developer-led developments. Consequently, all our appraisals assume nil grant. Clearly if grant funding does become available over the plan period, it should facilitate an increase in the provision of affordable housing when developments come forward.



#### 3.2.4 Self-Build Plots

At this site, emerging LPPD policy requires that 3% of new homes are to be provided as self-build housing. We have assumed that the cost of delivering the plots is equal to the value received for the plots and as a result we have omitted the costs/values from our appraisal. The effect of a requirement for self-build units is effectively neutral for a developer, as they will receive the market value for the plot (which reflects the end value less the construction costs).

#### 3.2.5 Extra care / Flexicare units

The Council requires at least 1 Flexi-care scheme to be delivered as part of the North East Harpenden Strategic Site.. The LPVS identified that Extra Care Housing (C3 Use) can be precisely defined (and differentiated from other types of residential institutions) by reason of some specific characteristics, as set out in the RTPI Good Practice Note<sup>3</sup>. The Royal Town Planning Institute defines Extra Care Housing as, 'purpose-built accommodation in which varying amounts of care and support can be offered and where some services are shared'. People who live in Extra Care Housing have their own self-contained homes, their own front doors and a legal right to occupy the property. It comes in many built forms, including blocks of flats, bungalow estates and retirement villages. It is a popular choice among older people because it can sometimes provide an alternative to a care home. In addition to the communal facilities often found in retirement housing Extra Care often includes a restaurant or dining room, health & fitness facilities, hobby rooms and computer rooms. Domestic support and personal care are available, usually provided by on-site staff.

As with retirement housing, Extra Care developments have different viability considerations to standard residential dwellings. These arise due to a significant gross to net ratio for such developments due to the need for more communal facilities as well as the additional time that it takes to sell the accommodation due to the restricted market for that type of unit. In our experience these developments also achieve premium value.

In line with our assumptions adopted in the LPVS for such developments we have assumed that the extra care/flexicare units identified on the strategic site will be provided as 50% one and 50% two bedroom apartments. We have also allowed for a gross to net floorspace ratio of 60% and a higher average sales value reflecting £8,400 per sq/m (£780 per sq/ft).

We have allowed for 40% of these units to be delivered as affordable housing with 60% provided as rented units (50% social rent and 50% affordable rent) and 40% as shared ownership.

#### 3.2.6 Local centres

The assumptions used in the appraisals to value the non-residential accommodation are summarised in Table 3.2.6.1 below.

Table 3.2.6.1: Non-residential revenue and assumptions

Accommodation	Rent (£ per sq/m)	Yield	Void Period (Inc. Rent Free)
Retail	£215	6.5%	1.5 years
Health	£215	5%	-

#### 3.2.7 Base build costs and infrastructure

We have sourced build costs for the residential schemes from the RICS Build Cost Information Services ('BCIS'), which is based on tenders for live schemes adjusted to reflect local circumstances in St Albans District. The base build costs used in our appraisals are set out in Table 3.2.7.1 below, these are in line with the costs adopted in the LPVS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Extra Care Housing – Development planning, control and management (2007)



Table 3.2.7.1 Base build costs adopted in appraisal

Use	Cost per sq/m
Residential houses	£1,489
Commercial uses - Local centre	£1,505
Health	£3,197
Extra care / Flexicare apartments	£1,800

In addition to these base costs, we have included an allowance which equates to an additional 10% of the base cost for external works on the residential uses and 10% on the non-residential uses (commercial uses in the local centre and the health use). The allowance included for external works accounts for works outside each dwelling including landscaping, pavements/driveways/parking works and so on.

In our experience it is likely that developers will be able to value engineer build costs to lower levels than assumed in this study on larger sites, such as the strategic sites in St Albans City and District area. We have not allowed for this in our assessment. Our appraisals also include a contingency of 5% of build costs.

In line with the LPVS we have also allowed for extra over costs associated with policy requirements which we summarise below.

Table 3.2.7.2: Extra Over Costs

Use	Accessibility M4 (2) £ Per Sq/m	Accessibility M4 (3) £ Per Sq/m	10% Biodiversity £ Per Sq/m
Residential houses	£8.00	£19.00	£1.19
Extra care / Flexicare apartments	£19.84	£19.00	£1.19

We have also included an allowance of £29,000 per standard residential unit and £20,000 per unit for all other specialist residential units for infrastructure costs. In our experience greenfield sites such as North East Harpenden are likely to require significant development of infrastructure such as servicing and roads etc.

#### 3.2.8 Professional fees

In addition to base build costs, schemes will incur professional fees covering design, valuation, highways and planning consultants and the cost of preparing and submitting the planning application and so on. Our appraisals incorporate an 8% allowance which reflects the site being built out by a volume housebuilder using standard house types.

#### 3.2.9 Development Finance

In line with the LPVS, our appraisal assumes that development finance can be secured at a rate of 6.5%.

#### 3.2.10 Marketing, Agency and Legal Costs

Our assessment incorporates an allowance of 3% for marketing costs, which includes show homes and agents' fees for market/private residential units, the private extra care units and on the First Homes units.

For the commercial units we have allowed for a 10% letting agent and 5% legal fee.

We have included a 1% sales agent allowance on commercial uses and the extra care home use.

We have applied a 0.25% sales legal fee on GDV to the residential uses and 0.5% on GDV for the



commercial uses.

#### 3.2.11 Acquisition/Purchaser Costs

Our appraisal deducts Stamp Duty at 5%, acquisition agent's fees at 1%; and acquisition legal fees at 0.8% of residual land value and on the commercial uses in the local centre.

#### 3.2.12 Section 106 obligations

The Council have advised as to appropriate Section 106 contributions for specific community infrastructure requirements associated with the delivery of this strategic site. We understand that these are broad estimates based on best available information including the apportionment of costs of delivering such infrastructure on existing sites in the District. These costs are likely to be refined as masterplanning of the strategic sites progresses. The Section 106 costs adopted in this study are as set out in Table 3.2.12.1 below.

Table 3.2.12,1: Section 106 contributions

Contribution description	Contribution	Comments on contribution
Education	£8,717,994	Based on £11,813 per unit
Healthcare	£2,790,000	Based on ARUP Costings
Sports & Community	£2,148,680	Based on Arup Costings
Green infrastructure:  Local open/play Space/Green Infrastructure	£1,104,636	Based on ARUP Costings
Transport Infrastructure	£9,834,588	£6,826 per home for active travel (index linked in accordance with HCC developer contributions toolkit)  £6,500 per home for transport requirements (e.g. Highways)
Total	£24,595,898	-

We have assumed a worst case scenario for the contributions outlined above, in that these will be upfront costs. It is likely however that some or all of these costs may be phased throughout the total development period of the strategic site.

We have also included an allowance for any residual S106 contributions over and above the identified items above and we have assumed £2,000 per unit and £20 per square metre for non-residential uses.

#### 3.2.13 **Profit**

As identified in the LPVS, Developer's profit is closely correlated with the perceived risk of residential development. The greater the risk, the greater the required profit level, which helps to mitigate against the risk, but also to ensure that the potential rewards are sufficiently attractive for a bank and other equity providers to fund a scheme. The NPPG identifies at para 018 that, "for the purpose of plan making an assumption of 15-20% of gross development value (GDV) may be considered a suitable return to developers in order to establish the viability of plan policies. Plan makers may choose to apply alternative figures where there is evidence to support this according to the type, scale and risk profile of planned development."

Based on our experience of the development of such uses and sites we have adopted the following profit assumptions in this assessment:

17.5% on Gross Development Value (GDV) for private housing units and private extra care units;



- 12% on GDV for the First Homes;
- 6% on GDV for affordable rent, shared ownership affordable housing and extra care units;
- 15% profit on GDV for non-residential uses in local centres.

#### 3.2.14 Timescales

We have considered the size of the site and number of residential units being delivered and adopted appropriate development and phasing assumptions. We have assumed that the site will be delivered in two phases of c. 215 units each and that there will be multiple sales outlets. We have assumed that the sales rate will be 6 units per month (assuming wo sales outlets), which is considered to be a conservative assumption and higher rates of sale could be experienced. The build out period will mirror the rate of sale, which is based on our understanding of developers' delivery of such large strategic sites. Sales start 12 months after commencement of construction. The timescales we applied are as detailed in Table 3.2.14.1 below.

Table 3.2.14.1: Development timescales

Phase	Phase 1	Phase 2	Total
Pre-construction (months)	9	9	27
Construction (months)	36	36	72
Residential Sales (months)	36	36	72
Extra Care Units	0	24	24

The sales rates are applied to the private housing and extra care units only, with the developers assumed to contract with a Registered Provider for the disposal of the affordable housing prior to commencement of construction. The agreed capital receipt for the affordable housing is assumed to be received quarterly over the build period.

With respect to the delivery of the extra care/flexicare, health provision and local centre we have included these within Phase 2 of the development. Given their smaller scale of development we have allowed for a 24 month construction phase for each use.



## 4 Appraisal results and analysis

We have run an appraisal of the strategic development envisaged at North East Harpenden as set out in the previous sections and identified within the Council's LPPD. We have then compared the residual land value to the most appropriate BLV identified in the LPVS, which in this instance is the Greenfield value of £370,000 per gross hectare, in order to determine whether this might be sufficient for the Strategic Site to be brought forward for development.

The appraisals and results are summarised in Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1: Appraisal results – North East Harpenden

Scenario appraised	Residual land value	BLV (based on £370,000 per Ha	Viable/ Unviable	
40% AH	£77,314,468	£11,729,000	Viable	

The appraisal scenario tested for the North East Harpenden Strategic Site identifies that the indicative development identified in the LPPD allocation scheme is viable when measured against the BLV of £370,000 per hectare when delivering 40% Affordable Housing. We therefore consider the strategic site to be developable as required by the NPPF i.e. it has a 'reasonable prospect' of being available and viably developed within the plan period.



## 5 Conclusions and Recommendations

This testing demonstrates that the North East Harpenden strategic site is viable and developable having regard to both the Council's planning policy requirements including 40% affordable housing and additional planning polices as set out in our LPVS.



# Appendix 1 - Working assumptions adopted in appraisal

Part	Revenue		
Part			1
The control of the co	Standard Residential		<u>"</u>
The state of the	Private Housing Affordable Pented (50% Social Pent 50% Affordable Pent)		
White part and part	Shared Ownership		
Committed but board	First Homes	£ 2,676	
Committed but board			1
Comment   Comm			
Commercial Commercia		(assuming 4 bed house)	
Part   March	Self build plots (assumed to be cost/value neutral)	£ -	
Part   March		On Malandar	- 1
Company   Comp	Special needs supported living units	£5.022	2
Section   Sect			•
Section   Proceedings   Proceedings   Proceded   Proc		1 0	1 f784 per week 90% occupancy f0
Transport (2011)  The comment of the	Beds (Pvt)	0	£1,300 per week 90% occupancy £0
Commence	Standard profit margin (ERITDA)		30% of income £0.00
Control Address   Control Service   Control Se	Capitalise EBITDA		0.3
Capital value   Capital valu	Deduct  Green Adjusted turnkey value of Home	15%	6 for income shorfall to maturity
Total Content   100   Total Part 90   All Annie Part 90   All An	Gross Adjusted turnkey value of Home		
Total Content   100   Total Part 90   All Annie Part 90   All An	Extra Care Housing	Capital value £ per sq m	
State   Prince   Pr			
Section   Transport Sect	Affordable Rented (50% Social Rent 50% Affordable Rent)	£ 3,104	
Total costs	Shared Ownership	£ 3,158	
Total costs			Dutsa
Section   Sect		Rent per sq m	Yield   Rent Free &   Void (months)
Content   Cont	Commercial	2045	
Total Costs	- Commercial	£215	<u> </u>
Total Costs	Conta		
Total commontal per super   Total common   Total	- CUSIS		
Total costs	Residual S106	-	
Commercial per sign	Standard residential per unit		Total Phase 1 Phase 2
Case Hoteley and a control of the product of the	Commercial per sq m	£ 20	D £ 8.860 £ - £ 8.860
Per unit cost   Para	Care Home per sq m	£ 20	D £ - £ - £ -
Total Costs	Extra Care / Flexicare units per unit Special needs supported living per unit		
Total costs   Continues   Co	TOTAL	=,500	
Total costs   Continues   Co			
Transport (EARS) per unit & E. E. 60 for other requiremental   E. 2.770 pt	S106 Infrastructure Costs		_
Second part	Tourney (CC 00C nor with 0 CC 500 for other reminerate)		
Name			
Ceres   1,164,595   Control   Cont			
Per unit cost		7	
Per unit cost			
Strategic page agenc   green infrintanticuture   E		£ 24,595,898	<u>,                                    </u>
Strategic page agenc   green infrintanticuture   E			
Per unit cost   Total costs   Phase 1   Phase 2		Per unit cost	Total costs
Per unit cost	Strategic open space / green infrastructure	£ -	
Site opening up costs Standard Resi   E   29,000   E 21,402,000   E 10,701,000	Local open space / play space / green initiastructure		<u></u>
Bits opening up costs Other Residential uses   £ 20,000   £ 1,880,000		Per unit cost	Total costs Phase 1 Phase 2
Bits opening up costs Other Residential uses   £ 20,000   £ 1,880,000			
Base per sq m	Site opening up costs Standard Resi	£ 29,000	£ 21,402,000 £ 10,701,000 £ 10,701,000
Base per sq m			
Base per sqm   Externals per   Accessibility   M4(2)   M4(3)	Site opening up costs Other Residential uses	£ 20,000	
Base per sq m   Externals per   Accessibility   Sq m   Accessibili	Build costs		£ 10,701,000 [£ 12,301,000]
Resi		Base per so m	
Second   S	Resi		Sq 111 WH(2) WH(3)
E	Commercial		
Extra care / flexicare   £   1,800   £   180   £   198   £   19   £   1.19   £   .	Health	£ 2,906	£ 3,197
Special needs supported living   E   1,800   E   180   E   19   E   1.19   E   E   2,020			<u>t                                   </u>
Travellor's pitches   No pitches   Cost	Special needs supported living		) £ 180 £ 19.84 £ 19 £ 1.19 £ - £ 2,020
Travellor's pitches   No pitches   Cost			
Travellor's pitches	Contingency on build costs	5%	]
Each travellers pitch estimated to cost £242,000		N	<del>-</del>
Profit   Provide   Provi	Info from Dacorum BC 5 pitches = 0.5 Ha	No pitches	
Private/Market Resi on GDV	Each travellers pitch estimated to cost £242,000	-	
Private/Market Resi on GDV	Profit		
First Homes         12.0%           Commercial or GDV         15%           Marketing /agency and legal fees         250%           Resi Sales agent and marketing on GDV         2.50%           Resi Sales legal fees on GDV         0.25%           Commercial Letting fee on rent pa         10.00%           Commercial Letting Legal fee on GDV         1.00%           Commercial Sales fee on GDV         1.00%           Commercial Legal fee on GDV         0.50%           Professional fees         8%           Finance         6.50%           Appraisal Outcome           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Private/Market Resi on GDV		]
Marketing /agency and legal fees			
Marketing /agency and legal fees           Resi Sales agent and marketing on GDV         2.50%           Resi Sales legal fees on GDV         0.25%           Commercial Letting Legal fee on rent pa         5.00%           Commercial Sales fee on GDV         1.00%           Commercial Legal fee on GDV         0.50%           Commercial Legal fee on GDV         0.50%           Professional fees         8%           Finance         6.50%           Appraisal Outcome         Fragilia Commercial Legal fee on GDV           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £ 77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £ 11,729,000	Commercail on GDV		
Resi Sales agent and marketing on GDV         2.50%           Resi Sales legal fees on GDV         0.25%           Commercial Letting fee on rent pa         10.00%           Commercial Sales fee on GDV         1.00%           Commercial Sales fee on GDV         0.50%           Professional fees         8%           Finance         6.50%           Appraisal Outcome           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £ 77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £ 11,729,000			
O.25%	Resi Sales agent and marketing on GDV	2.50%	1
Commercial Letting Legal fee on rent pa   5.0%	Resi Sales legal fees on GDV	0.25%	
Commercial Letting Legal fee on rent pa   5.0%	Commercial Letting fee on rent pa	10.00%	1
1.0%	Commercial Letting Legal fee on rent pa	5.00%	
Professional fees         8%           Finance         6.50%           Appraisal Outcome         Ε           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Commercial Sales fee on GDV	1.00%	
Finance 6.50%  Appraisal Outcome  Residual Land Value @ 40% AH £ 77,314,468  Benchmark Land Value Greenfield		0.3076	<u>.</u>
Appraisal Outcome         £         77,314,468           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         11,729,000           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Professional fees	8%	
Appraisal Outcome         £         77,314,468           Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         11,729,000           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Einanga	C F09/	1
Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Finance	0.30%	<u>.</u>
Residual Land Value @ 40% AH         £         77,314,468           Benchmark Land Value Greenfield         £         11,729,000	Annual outcome		
Benchmark Land Value Greenfield £ 11,729,000	Appraisal Outcome		
Benchmark Land Value Greenfield £ 11,729,000	Residual Land Value @ 40% AH	£ 77,314,468	
Appraisal outcome (Surplus/ Deficit) £ 65,585,468	Denominary Lanu Value Greeniielu	11,/29,000	<u>u</u> _
	Appraisal outcome (Surplus/ Deficit)	£ 65,585,468	

Name of site	North East Harpe	nden							
iite Size (Gross) Ha	31.7	1							
ite Size (Net) Ha	18.4								
otal No Standard Residential units	738								
elf build plots (3%) otal units less self build (97%)	22 716								
care Home rooms	/16								
xtra Care / flexicare units	80								
pecial needs supporting living units	4								
raveller & Gypsy Pitches lo Phases	2	:							
01110363		1							
nit mix Based on Typology 14									
larket Housing Mix	1 Bed 2p flat	2 bed 4p flat	3 bed 5p flat	4 bed 7p flat	2 Bed 2p	3 Bed 5p House	4 Bed 7p	5 Bed 7p	Total
					House		House	House	iotai
tize (sq m)	<b>50</b> 0%	<b>70</b> 0%	86 0%	108 0%	<b>79</b> 36%	<b>93</b> 56%	115 4%	125 0%	
ercentage split otal Floor area (sq m)	- 076	- 076	- 076		20,363	37,289	3,294	- 076	60,946
(			1			0.,200 [	3,231	'	
Affordable Housing Mix	1 Bed 2p flat	2 bed 4p flat	3 bed 5p flat	4 bed 7p flat	2 Bed 2p	3 Bed 5p House	4 Bed 7p	5 Bed 7p	Total
		-			House		House	House	. Ottai
ize (sq m) Percentage split	<b>50</b> 0%	<b>70</b> 0%	86 0%	108 0%	<b>79</b> 46%	<b>93</b> 34%	<b>115</b> 19%	125 0%	
otal Floor area (sq m)					26,019	22,640	15,645		64,304
fordable Housing Analysis									
ercentage	40%	]							
Rented (50% Social rent and 50% Affordable Rent)	60%								
ntermediate (Shared Ownership) ntermediate (First Homes)	15% 25%	1							
iterineulate (Filst Hornes)	1 25%	1							
tesi floor area analysis									
<u> </u>	Total	Phase 1	Phase 2						
otal Private Floor area	36,568		18,284						
otal Affordable Floor Area Rented (50% Social rent and 50% Affordable Rent)	25,722 15,432.95		12,861 7,716						
thared ownership	3,858.24								
irst Homes	6,430								
elf-Build units									
en-bunu urilis	Total	Phase 1	Phase 2						
lo plots	22								
·				•					
lealth provision	Tetal	Dhe 4	Dha 2	ı					
Gross floor area (sq m)	Total 176	Phase 1	Phase 2 176						
let floorarea (sq m)	144		144						
				1					
leighbourhood / Local Centre		Di	Di	ı					
Gross floorarea (sq m)	Total 443	Phase 1	Phase 2 443						
let floorarea (sq m)	363		363						
Care Homes	Phase 2	1							
lational Care Standards requirements					]				
135	sq ft of useable floorspac	e, excluding ensi	uite						
	sq ft of space for en-suite sq ft of communal space,		ation		-				
42	g ag it or communal space,	SAUGUING CITCUR	auvii		1				
	rooms	-	floor area of ro		1				
			en-suite bathro						
		-	communal spa sq ft NIA	ce	1				
0.15	Circulation	-	sq tt NIA sqft		1				
V.10		-	sqft GIA		1				
			sqm GIA		l				
extra-Care / Flexi Care	Phase 2	1			Affordable Hou	ieina l			
Aua-Cale / FIEXI Care	FridSe Z				Rented (50%	ıəniğ			
					Social rent	Intermediate			
	1 Bed 2p flat	2 bed 4p flat	Total	Private	and 50%	(Shared			
					Affordable	Ownership)			
size (sq m)	50	70			Rent)				
ercentage split	50%	50%	1	60%					
otal Floor area (sq m) NIA	2,000	2,800	4,800	2,880	1,152	768			
otal Floor area (sq m) GIA @60% Gross to Net assumption	3,333	4,667	8,000	4,800					
pecial Needs Supported Living Units	Phase 2	1							
pecial needs Supported Living Units	Phase 2 1 Bed 2p flat	1							
ize (sq m)	50	1							
ercentage split	100%	1							
otal Floor area (sq m) (Net)	200	ł							
otal Floor area (sq m) GIA @ 75% Gross to Net assumption	267	1							
	Total	Phase 1	Phase 2						
ravellers Pitches in Ha		-	-						
				•					
imagaglag									
imescales									
imescales	Total	Phase 1	Phase 2						
Pre-constuction (months)	Total 18								
Pre-constuction (months) Construction (months)	18 72	36	9 36						
Pre-constuction (months)	18	9 36 36	9 36 36						

 Phase 1
 Phase 2

 429.6
 215
 214.8

Total

No Private units less self build units



# Appendix 2 - Argus appraisal summary

# **Licensed Copy**

**Development Appraisal** 

North East Harpenden
40% Affordable Housing

Report Date: September 25, 2024

# North East Harpenden 40% Affordable Housing

Summary Appraisal for Merged Phases 1 2

Currency in £

<b>,</b>						
REVENUE Sales Valuation Phase 1 - Market Housing Phase 1 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 1 - Shared Ownership Phase 1 - First Homes Phase 2 - Market Housing Phase 2 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 2 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 2 - Shared Ownership Phase 2 - First Homes Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Private Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Social/ Aff Rented Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Aff SO Totals	Units  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	m² 18,284.00 7,716.00 1,929.00 3,215.00 18,824.00 7,716.00 1,929.00 3,215.00 2,880.00 1,152.00 768.00 67,628.00	Rate m² 7,320.00 3,104.00 3,158.00 2,676.00 7,320.00 3,104.00 3,158.00 2,676.00 8,784.00 3,104.00 3,158.00	Unit Price 133,838,880 23,950,464 6,091,782 8,603,340 137,791,680 23,950,464 6,091,782 8,603,340 25,297,920 3,575,808 2,425,344	Gross Sales 133,838,880 23,950,464 6,091,782 8,603,340 137,791,680 23,950,464 6,091,782 8,603,340 25,297,920 3,575,808 2,425,344 380,220,804	
Rental Area Summary				Initial	Net Rent	Initial
Phase 2 - Neighbourhood / Local Centre Phase 2 - Health Provision Totals	Units 1 <u>1</u> 2	m² 363.00 <u>144.00</u> <b>507.00</b>	Rate m <sup>2</sup> 215.00 215.00	<b>MRV/Unit</b> 78,045 30,960	at Sale 78,045 <u>30,960</u> 109,005	MRV 78,045 <u>30,960</u> 109,005
Investment Valuation						
Phase 2 - Neighbourhood / Local Centre Market Rent (1yr 6mths Rent Free) Phase 2 - Health Provision	78,045	YP @ PV 1yr 6mths @	7.0000% 7.0000%	14.2857 0.9035	1,007,329	
Current Rent	30,960	YP @	5.0000%	20.0000	619,200 <b>1,626,529</b>	
GROSS DEVELOPMENT VALUE				381,847,333		
Purchaser's Costs		6.80%	(110,604)	(110,604)		
NET DEVELOPMENT VALUE				381,736,729		
NET REALISATION				381,736,729		
OUTLAY						
ACQUISITION COSTS  Residualised Price Stamp Duty Agent Fee Legal Fee		5.00% 1.00% 0.80%	77,314,468 3,865,723 773,145 618,516			
CONSTRUCTION COSTS				82,571,851		
Construction Phase 2 - Neighbourhood / Local Centre Phase 2 - Health Provision Phase 1 - Market Housing Phase 1 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 1 - Shared Ownership Phase 1 - First Homes Phase 2 - Market Housing Phase 2 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 2 - Social/Affordable Rent Phase 2 - Shared Ownership Phase 2 - First Homes Phase 2 - First Homes Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Private Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Social/ Aff Rented Phase 2 - Extra/Flexi Care Housing - Aff SO Totals	442.68 m² 176.00 m² 18,284.00 m² 7,716.00 m² 1,929.00 m² 3,215.00 m² 18,824.00 m² 7,716.00 m² 1,929.00 m² 3,215.00 m² 4,800.00 m² 1,920.00 m² 1,920.00 m² 1,920.00 m² 1,280.00 m²	Rate m <sup>2</sup> 1,656.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 3,197.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 1,666.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 2,020.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 2,020.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 2,020.00 pm <sup>2</sup> 2,020.00 pm <sup>2</sup>	Cost 733,083 562,672 30,461,144 12,854,856 3,213,714 5,356,190 31,360,784 12,854,856 3,213,714 5,356,190 9,696,000 3,878,400 2,585,600 122,127,203	122,127,203		
Contingency Phase 1 - Site opening up costs Phase 2 - Site opening up costs Phase 1 - S106 Phase 1 - Healthcare Phase 1 - Transport Phase 1 - Education (primary) Phase 1 - Community & Sport Phase 1 - Green Infrastructure		5.00%	6,106,360 10,701,000 12,381,000 738,000 2,790,000 9,834,588 8,717,994 2,148,680 1,104,636			

# North East Harpenden 40% Affordable Housing

Rent Cover

Profit Erosion (finance rate 6.500%)

Phase 2 - S106		914,860	55,437,118
PROFFCCIONAL FEFO			33,437,110
PROFESSIONAL FEES Professional fees	8.00%	12,105,245	12,105,245
MARKETING & LETTING			12,105,245
Letting Agent Fee Letting Legal Fee	10.00% 5.00%	10,901 5,450	
Letting Legai Fee	5.00%	5,450	16,351
DISPOSAL FEES			
Phase 1 - Resi Sales/Marketing Fee	3.00%	4,273,267	
Phase 2 - Resi Sales/Marketing Fee	2.50%	4,292,324	
Commercial Sales Agent Fee	1.00%	15,159	
Phase 1-Residential Sales Legal Fee	0.25%	431,211	
Phase 2 Residential Sales Legal Fee	0.25%	519,064	
Phase 2 Commercial Sales Legal Fee	0.50%	7,580	0.500.004
			9,538,604
Additional Costs			
Phase 1 - Market Housing Profit	17.50%	24,927,389	
Phase 1 - Affordable Housing Profit	6.00%	1,802,535	
Phase 1- First Homes Profit	12.00%	1,032,401	
Phase 2 - Market Housing Profit	17.50%	28,540,680	
Phase 2 - Affordable Profit	6.00%	2,162,604	
Phase 2 - Commercial Profit	15.00%	151,099	
Phase 2 - First Homes Profit	12.00%	1,032,401	
			59,649,108
FINANCE			
Debit Rate 6.500% Credit Rate 0.000% (Nominal)			
Total Finance Cost			40,291,261
TOTAL COSTS			381,736,742
PROFIT			
Hom			(13)
Performance Measures			
Profit on Cost%	0.00%		
Profit on GDV%	0.00%		
Profit on NDV%	0.00%		
Development Yield% (on Rent)	0.00%		
	6.29%		
Equivalent Yield% (Nominal)			
Equivalent Yield% (True)	6.54%		
IRR	6.45%		

0 yrs 0 mths

N/A