

Green Belt Review - Errata

St Albans City and District Council – November 2024

1. Introduction

1.1 Errata have been identified in the following Green Belt Review Stage 2 (2023) documents:

- Green Belt Review 2023 - Report
- Green Belt Review 2023 - Annex Proforma Report

1.2 The specific errata identified below have been confirmed by the original consultants, Arup.

1.3 Both SADC and Arup agree that there are no further consequential impacts of the errata identified.

2. Errata – Stage 2 Green Belt Review Report, Table 4.11

2.1 In the main report Table 4.11 the sub areas categorisation that meet the purpose of the assessment criteria ‘Weakly’, and where contribution to wider strategic Green Belt is considered as ‘Part of sub-area less important’, the recommendation is stated in error as ‘Recommended for further consideration’ when this should read as:

‘Part recommended for further consideration’.

2.2 This correction is shown below in a reproduction of Table 4.11:

Table 4.11 Sub-area Categorisation / Recommendations

Meets purpose assessment criteria	Contribution to wider strategic green belt	Recommendation
Strongly	Important	Not recommended for further consideration
	Less important	Recommended for further consideration
	Part of sub-area less important	Part recommended for future consideration
Moderately	Important	Not recommended for further consideration
	Less important	Recommended for further consideration
	Part of sub-area less important	Part recommended for future consideration
Weakly	Important	Not recommended for further consideration
	Less important	Recommended for further consideration
	Part of sub-area less important	<u>Part</u> recommended for future consideration

3. Errata - Annex Proforma Report, Table A1

3.1 In the Annex Proforma Report, Table A1 Summary Assessment of Findings, sub-areas SA-74, SA-103 and SA-165 are stated in error as 'Recommended for further consideration' when these should read as:

'Part recommended for further consideration'.

3.2 Read correctly these sub-areas will then match with the findings of the sub-area proformas in the Annex and figure 5.15 to 5.18 in the main report.

3.3 The corrections are shown below in a reproduction of Table A1:

Sub-area	Purpose 1	Purpose 2	Purpose 3	Purpose 4	Overall NPPF Purpose Performance	Strategic Role	Recommendation
SA-74	5	1	2	0	Strongly	Partly less important	<u>Part</u> recommended for further consideration
SA-103	1	0	1	1	Weakly	Partly less important	<u>Part</u> recommended for further consideration
SA-165	3	3	5	0	Strongly	Partly less important	<u>Part</u> recommended for further consideration